

Baginton and Bubbenhall Consultation Response Table

Regulation 14 Consultation

24th October 2016 to 19th December 2016

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
1 A O'Hare	All			Support	I have read the draft plan and it seems sensible.	Comment noted	No change.
2 L Brown			G4 BAG6	Comment	Consider the use of speed bumps for traffic calming in Bubbenhall	Noted. Policy G4 and BAG6 promote traffic calming measures.	No change.
3 J Lucas	All			Support	I fully support the plan	Noted.	No change.
4 S Haynes	All			Support	The plan meets the needs of Bubbenhall	Noted.	No change.
5 J Tipper	All			Support	I fully approve of the draft neighbourhood plan	Noted.	No change.
6 D & N Hands	All			Support	We agree with the plan and have nothing further to add or amend	Noted.	No change.
7 M & J Robinson	All			Support	We fully support the plan	Noted.	No change.
8 D & S Holloway	All			Support	We fully support the plan	Noted.	No change.

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
9 E Austin	All			Comment	1. The maps are too small	Not accepted. The maps are considered to be legible.	No change.
	All			Comment	2. Suggest locations mentioned are all noted on a map.	Noted. The locations are identified on various maps.	No change.
	All			Comment	3. Greater emphasis needs to be placed on protecting the 'Green Belt'	Not accepted. Green Belt is a strategic matter and is be defined in the Local Plan. The NDP should not repeat national and local policy. Existing text has been agreed informally with Warwick DC.	No change.
	All			Comment	4. Plan needs to make it clear that Bubbenhall is not part of Coventry or a wider Birmingham.	Noted. The NDP refers to the designated neighbourhood area within Warwick District.	No change.

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
				Comment	5. I think we need to enforce speed limits in Bubbenhall rather than have traffic calming measures	Noted. Traffic enforcement is not a matter for the NDP.	No change.
	All			Comment	6.The draft plan could be more concise	Noted. The NDP is a technical document to determine planning applications but it includes an Executive Summary.	No change.
	All			Comment	7. Encourage the protection of wildlife.	Accepted. Policy G2 protects local wildlife.	No change.
	All			Support	8. On the whole I support the plan	Noted.	No change.
10 S Cusworth	Policy BAG6 page 60			Comment	1. My biggest problem is the parking on Coventry Rd Baginton	Noted. Parking is addressed n Policy BAG6.	No change.
	BAG6			Comment	2. I think the Parish Council of Baginton should obtain a weight limit/restriction in our village	Noted. BAG6 includes reference to working with WCC, the Police and WDC to	No change.

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
						manage traffic better including HGVs.	
	All			Comment	3. Are there any plans to install a 'slow down' flashing light in Baginton	Noted. This could be included in the possible measures set out in Policy BAG6.	No change.
11 J & D Goodgame	All			Support	1. We are in complete agreement with the plan	Noted.	No change.
	All			Support	2. We agree with preventing urban sprawl	Noted.	No change.
	All			Support	3. We agree with developing housing sympathetic to both villages	Noted.	No change.
	All			Comment	4. Reduce adverse effects of traffic	Noted.	No change.
	All			Comment	5. Facilities should contribute to a vibrant inclusive community	Noted.	No change.
12 D Bevan	Bubben Hall section			Comment / Support	1. The Bubbenhall and general policies in the plan should maintain the character of the village whilst trying to encourage more affordable housing	Noted.	No change.

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
				Comment / Support	2. I think it is essential that the current green belt is maintained	Noted.	No change.
	All			Support	3. I agree with the policies set out	Noted.	No change.
13 A Bush	All			Support	I fully support the plan	Noted.	No change.
14 F Amphlett	All Baginton			Comment	<p>I am restricting my comments on the document mainly to issues relating only to Baginton Village.</p> <p>Originally this project appeared to be covering a fairly large geographical area incorporating four local villages, however, this now appears to include only two villages and I wonder how this might affect the eventual viability and credibility of the on-going project together with any potential powers that may be available as a result of a positive vote to proceed?</p> <p>There appear to be a number of simple errors within the text and I have assumed that these will be rectified prior to forwarding the final document for possible approval?</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Stoneleigh and Ashow Parish Council made the decision to withdraw from the NDP process in 2016, but the remaining Parish Councils of Baginton and Bubbenhall wished to continue with the Plan. It is not accepted that this impacts adversely on viability and credibility.</p>	No change.
	All Baginton			Comment	In the main, the photographs within the document gave me an impression that most of the housing in Baginton is somewhat	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The Parish Council do not</p>	No change.

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
					upmarket and, maybe in private ownership, however, quite a number of housing units are under the direction of private landlords and/or various housing associations. For me it would present a more realistic picture of housing ownership if maybe at least one picture showed perhaps association housing on Rowley Road/Edinburgh Villas or maybe Bromleigh Villas? This might convey a message to residents that there is true inclusivity and may therefore encourage a larger voting turnout at the appropriate time?	see the need to change the photographs. The section on the different character areas includes photographs showing a variety of house types and styles.	
	All Baginton			Comment	On the question of voting I noted that 50%+ is required to allow the finished document to be approved. Is this percentage across the two villages or across each village? Clearly if most people in Baginton did not vote in the affirmative and most residents in Bubbenhall did then this might mean that a project not wanted by one area might be pushed forward by the other and bring the credibility of the project into question and be challenged?	Noted. All on the electoral role in both Parishes are invited to vote in the referendum. If there is a majority No vote in one of the Parishes then the Parish Councils could consider the	No change.

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
						future of the Plan.	
	All Baginton			Comment	Vehicles parked on the pavements are a major cause of damage and obstruction and this problem needs to be addressed? Many local authorities are investigating ways forward on this issue-maybe this is something the project compilers will take on board and run with?	Noted. This is not a NDP issue – refer to Parish Council.	No change.
	All Baginton			Comment	Neighbourhood Watch has been mentioned-for me this needs to be a more 'formal scheme' recognised by the association and run along association guidelines with community involvement and community meetings etc? Perhaps there is room to mention formal support for the 'Community First Responder medical scheme here also?	Noted. This is not a NDP issue – refer to Parish Council.	No change.
	All Baginton			Comment	In the history of the village I noted little if any reference to the fact that Baginton is more or less surrounded by massive waste tips comprising either controlled or uncontrolled waste and sewerage beds etc-should these not be mentioned?	Noted. Waste is not a NDP issue – refer to Parish Council.	
15 J Keightley	All			Support	I fully support the plan	Noted.	No change.

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
16 P Clerk	All			Support	I fully support the plan	Noted.	No change.
17 R Taylor	All			Support	I fully support the plan	Noted.	No change.
18 W Bush	All			Support	1. I support the plan		
	Page 60		Policy BAG6	Comment	2. Is it possible to include photos of areas requiring traffic improvements	Noted. The Plan is already a lengthy document and further illustrations are not really necessary.	No change.
19 C Pilgrim	P 79		Policy BUB2	Comment	1. It is important that the character of Bubbenhall village is preserved by keeping the conservation area protected and carefully regulating and future development.	Accepted. The Plan supports this approach.	No change.
	P 81		Policy BUB3 Policy G4	Comment	2. The public transport to the village is poor	Accepted. Improvements to public transport are encouraged in Policy G4.	No change.
	P 19		Obj 5 Bub3	Comment	3. The village suffers through not having a shop.	Noted. The NDP supports improvements	No change.

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
						in community facilities in Policy BUB3 but the provision of a shop would depend upon economic viability.	
			Policy G4.	Comment	4. Traffic on the A445 makes crossing the road into Ryton Pools difficult.	Noted. Policy G4 promotes improvements in traffic management and transport.	No change.
20 E & M Shattock	P 25		Policy G3	Support	A carefully thought through plan which allows some development in the two villages but which protects their essential rural character and reinforces the protective role of the green belt in preventing urban sprawl.	Noted.	No change.
21 B & R Cragg	All			Support	An excellent draft plan which we fully support	Noted.	No change.
22 Mr Patinson	P 65	6.10		Comment	The paragraph that refers to ownership of the Bubbenhall village green needs to be changed to reflect the lease arrangement that is in place	Noted. Paragraph 6.10 has already been amended following	No change.

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
						informal consultation therefore no action required.	
23 C Greenway	All			Support	I agree with the protected views listed for Bubbenhall and I support the plan	Noted.	No change.
24 J & H Sanders	All			Support	We support the plan and can suggest no changes	Noted.	No change.
25 M Clark	All			Support	I fully support the plan	Noted.	No change.
26 R & S Perry	6.0 Bubbenh all section			Support	We think the policies contained in the plan are the right ones for Bubbenhall	Noted.	No change.
27 J & S Kalyan	P 25		Policy G3	Support	We are concerned about threats to the green belt and large scale developments in and around Bubbenhall. We therefore welcome the policies outlined in the plan that cover these issues	Noted.	No change.
28 M Waldron	All			Support	I fully support the plan	Noted.	No change.
29 K & A Cassidy	All			Support	We fully support the plan	Noted.	No change.
30 I Randall	All			Support	We support the implementation of the plan	Noted.	No change.
31	6.0 Bubbenh		Vision	Support	We support the plan and its vision for Bubbenhall	Noted.	No change.

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
Genesis Wealth Creation	all Section						
32 Severn Trent	All			No comment	Thank you for contacting us - we will contact you we you have any comments	Noted. (No further comments received)	No change.
33 Natural England	P 24	Policy G2		No comment	<p>Notification of Formal Public Consultation on the Baginton and Bubbenhall Draft Neighbourhood Development Plan</p> <p>Thank you for your consultation on the above dated 22/10/2016</p> <p>Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development.</p> <p>Natural England is a statutory consultee in neighbourhood planning and must be consulted on draft neighbourhood development plans by the Parish/Town Councils or Neighbourhood Forums where they consider our interests would</p>	Noted.	No change.

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
					<p>be affected by the proposals made.</p> <p>Natural England does not have any specific comments on this draft neighbourhood plan.</p> <p>However, we refer you to the attached annex which covers the issues and opportunities that should be considered when preparing a Neighbourhood Plan. For any further consultations on your plan, please contact: consultations@naturalengland.org.uk.</p> <p>We really value your feedback to help us improve the service we offer. We have attached a feedback form to this letter and welcome any comments you might have about our service.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>		
34 Environment Agency			Section 4 General Policies	Comment	<p>The neighbourhood plan needs to appropriately address the following issues:</p> <p>1. You may wish to consider including a policy to ensure that all development is located outside the River Sowe floodplain.</p>	Accepted. Insert new Policy and supporting text.	<p>Amend Plan.</p> <p>Insert new supporting text after Policy G5:</p> <p>“At Regulation 14 consultation the Environment Agency advised that the NDP should include a new policy to ensure that all development is located outside the floodplains of the Rivers Sowe and Avon. The area is at risk of flooding from the</p>

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
							<p>main rivers Sowe and Avon and the surface water drains are at capacity in Bubbenhall. There is a need to safeguard land from fluvial flooding and the provision of sustainable management of surface water from windfall sites. Up to date Environment Agency flood maps can be viewed at: http://apps.environment-agency.gov.uk/wiyby/37837.aspx</p> <p>New housing development is classified as a “more vulnerable” use (see Planning Practice Guidance http://planningguidance.communities.gov.uk/blog/guidance/flood-risk-and-coastal-change/ and is therefore considered appropriate in flood zones 1, low or very low risk and flood zone 2 medium risk. Proposals in flood zone 3, high risk and flood zone 2, and sites over 1ha would have to submit a Flood Risk Assessment with any planning application which also includes a sequential test of alternative sites in lesser flood zones. If following the sequential test, it is not possible to locate the development within a lower probability of flooding i.e. flood zone 1 or 2 then the Exception Test should be applied. For the Exception Test to be passed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● it must be demonstrated that the development provides wider sustainability benefits to the community that outweigh flood risk, informed by a Strategic Flood Risk Assessment where one has been prepared; and ● a site-specific flood risk assessment must demonstrate that the development will be safe

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
							<p>for its lifetime taking account of the vulnerability of its users, without increasing flood risk elsewhere, and, where possible, will reduce flood risk overall.</p> <p>Both elements of the test will have to be passed for development to be allocated or permitted.</p> <p>The Environment Agency recommended measures to protect and enhance the river corridors of River Sowe and River Avon which are located in the NDP Area and these have also been included in new Policy G7.”</p> <p>Insert new Policy G7 Managing Flood Risk</p> <p>Proposals for new residential development in Baginton and Bubbenhall should be directed to areas at low or very low risk of flooding as shown on the Environment Agency flood maps for planning (flood zones 1 and 2). Proposals for new residential development in flood zone 3 including on the River Sowe and River Avon flood plains will be resisted.</p> <p>Development proposals will be required to provide effective surface water drainage measures to protect existing and future residential areas from flooding. Opportunities will be sought to reduce the overall level of flood risk in the area through the layout and form of the development, and the appropriate</p>

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
							<p>application of sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) including use of flood storage areas.</p> <p>Additional flood mitigation measures will also be required to protect and enhance the river corridors of River Sowe and River Avon. These are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Setting back development 8m from watercourses to allow access for maintenance and restoring the natural floodplain; • Ensuring all SuDS features are located outside of the 1 in 100 year plus climate change flood extent; • Opening up culverted watercourses and removing unnecessary obstructions.
35 Learn Direct	All			No comment	Generic response - we will respond by the deadline if we have any comments	Noted – no comment received.	No change.
36 Staffordshire County Council	All			No comment	The designated area is not in Staffordshire so no response is submitted	Noted.	No change.
37 EA Staffs, Warwicks & WM	All			Comment	SEA SCREENING OPINION FOR THE BAGINGTON AND BUBBENHALL JOINT NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN	Noted – refer to SEA and comments above.	No further change.

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
					<p>Thank you for your consultation letter of 12th October 2016.</p> <p>Environment Agency position We have reviewed the Strategic Environmental Assessment Screening Report submitted and have further comments to make in respect of Groundwater and flood risk to ensure that the Neighbourhood Plan will appropriately address the environmental issues we consider are of most importance for this proposal.</p> <p>Our technical comments detailing the information we consider should be provided in the screening report are provided below.</p>	<p>A new policy will be included in the submission plan addressing flood risk.</p>	
			BAG1 and BAG2	Comment	<p><u>Flood Risk</u></p> <p>The following two allocated housing sites are located within the NDP Area:</p> <p>H19 Baginton – Land north of Rosswood Farm: The site is located in Flood Zone 1 (low risk) as shown on our Flood Map for Planning (Rivers and Sea).</p>	<p>Accepted.</p> <p>An additional general policy related to flooding is included in the submission plan but further text could also be</p>	<p>Amend Plan.</p> <p>Insert additional text after para 5.23: “Comments submitted by the Environment Agency at Regulation 14 consultation noted that following two allocated housing sites are located within the NDP Area: H19 Baginton – Land north of Rosswood Farm: The site is located in Flood Zone 1 (low risk) as shown on our Flood Map for Planning (Rivers and Sea). H08 green field site: The River Sowe flows through the southern</p>

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
					<p>H08 green field site: The River Sowe flows through the southern boundary of the site and is located partly within Flood Zone 2 (medium risk). You may wish to consider including a Policy to ensure that all development is located outside of the River Sowe floodplain.</p> <p>The NDP (section 2.15) recognises that the NDP area is at risk of flooding from the Main Rivers Sowe and Avon and the surface water drains are at capacity in Bubbenhall. However, the Plan does not propose any Policies to safeguard land at risk from fluvial flooding and the provision of sustainable management of surface water from both allocated (H08) and future windfall sites to ensure that sites are safe and will not increase flood risk elsewhere and that opportunities to reduce flood risk are identified.</p> <p>We recommend that a flood risk management Policy is included in the NDP to cover these aspects and take into account the impacts</p>	<p>included relating to the 2 Local Plan allocated sites in Policies BAG1 and BAG2.</p> <p>Note Warwickshire County Council were consulted upon the Plan and submitted no comments at Reg 14. There will be another opportunity to comment at Reg 16 consultation.</p>	<p>boundary of the site and is located partly within Flood Zone 2 (medium risk). The Environment Agency suggested that a policy should be included to ensure all development is located outside of the River Sowe floodplain and this is addressed in a new Policy G7 Managing Flood Risk.”</p>

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
					<p>of climate change. This should support the strategic development needs as set out in Warwickshire District Council's Local Plan. In particular with regard to Policy FW1 (Development in Areas at Risk of Flooding) and Policy FW2 (Sustainable Urban Drainage) of the emerging Local Plan. Consideration should be given to the following measures to protect and enhance the river corridors of River Sowe and River Avon which are located in the NDP Area.</p> <p>This should consider the inclusion of the following mitigation measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure all development is located outside of flood risk areas • Opportunities to reduce flood risk elsewhere by allocating flood storage areas • Setting back development 8m from watercourses to allow access for 		

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
					<p>maintenance and restoring the natural floodplain</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure SuDS all required on all developments and all SuDS features must be located outside of the 1 in 100 year plus climate change flood extent • Open up culverted watercourses and remove unnecessary obstructions <p>We recommend that Warwickshire County Council, as the Lead Local Flood Authority, are consulted on this Plan. The LLFA are responsible for managing flood risk from local sources including ordinary watercourses, groundwater and surface water.</p>		
	All - SEA			Comment	<p><u>Baginton and Bubbenhall Joint Neighbourhood Plan Strategic Environmental Assessment Screening Opinion (October 2016)</u></p> <p>The SEA Screening Opinion concludes that the NDP does not require a full SEA to be undertaken. We agree with the</p>	Noted.	No further change.

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
					<p>conclusion as the NDP is not allocating any new sites for development and is unlikely to have significant environmental effects (allocated sites are not located in high flood risk area) that have not already been dealt with through a sustainability appraisal of the Local Plan for the area.</p>		
			BAG1 and BAG2	Comment	<p><u>Groundwater</u></p> <p>We have the following comments to make which relate solely to the protection of 'Controlled Waters' receptors.</p> <p>The 'Baginton and Bubbenhall Draft Neighbourhood Development Plan 2011 – 2029' (September 2016) does not include maps of specific potential development areas so we are unable to provide any site specific comments.</p> <p>In planning any development reference should be made to our 'Groundwater Protection: Principles and Practice' (GP3) document. This sets out our position on a wide range of</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The Site Allocations are those included in the Local Plan and the proposed sites are shown on Map 2 in the NDP.</p> <p>The comments in relation to Groundwater should be referred to Warwick District Council for consideration.</p>	No change.

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
					<p>activities and developments, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Storage of pollutants and hazardous substances • Solid waste management • Discharge of liquid effluents into the ground (including site drainage) • Management of groundwater resources • Land contamination • Ground source heat pumps • Cemetery developments <p>The comments we set out above are without prejudice to future decisions we make regarding any applications subsequently made to us for our permits or consents for operations at the site.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>		
38 Network Rail	All			Comment	Generic response - we will respond by the deadline if we have any comments.	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
39 Coventry City Council	All			Comment	Generic response - we will respond by the deadline if we have any comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
40 CTC Aviation	All			Comment	Generic response - we will respond by the deadline if we have any comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
41 HMG Marine Management Organisation	All			Comment	<p>Thank you for including the MMO in your recent consultation submission. Please remove info@marinemanagement.org.uk from your database and address further communications to consultations.mmo@marinemanagement.org.uk.</p> <p>The MMO will review your document and respond to you directly should a bespoke response be required. If you do not receive a bespoke response from us within your deadline, please consider the following information as the MMO's formal response.</p> <p><u>Response to your consultation</u></p> <p>The Marine Management Organisation (MMO) is a non-departmental public body responsible for the management of England's marine area on behalf of the UK government. The MMO's delivery functions are;</p>	Noted.	No change.

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
					<p>marine planning, marine licensing, wildlife licensing and enforcement, marine protected area management, marine emergencies, fisheries management and issuing European grants.</p> <p><u>Marine Licensing</u> Activities taking place below the mean high water mark may require a marine licence in accordance with the Marine and Coastal Access Act (MCAA) 2009. Such activities include the construction, alteration or improvement of any works, dredging, or a deposit or removal of a substance or object below the mean high water springs mark or in any tidal river to the extent of the tidal influence. You can also apply to the MMO for consent under the Electricity Act 1989 (as amended) for offshore generating stations between 1 and 100 megawatts in England and parts of Wales. The MMO is also the authority responsible for processing and determining harbour orders in England, and for some ports in Wales, and for granting consent under various</p>		

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
					<p>local Acts and orders regarding harbours. A wildlife licence is also required for activities that that would affect a UK or European protected marine species.</p> <p><u>Marine Planning</u></p> <p>As the marine planning authority for England the MMO is responsible for preparing marine plans for English inshore and offshore waters. At its landward extent, a marine plan will apply up to the mean high water springs mark, which includes the tidal extent of any rivers. As marine plan boundaries extend up to the level of the mean high water spring tides mark, there will be an overlap with terrestrial plans which generally extend to the mean low water springs mark. Marine plans will inform and guide decision makers on development in marine and coastal areas. On 2 April 2014 the East Inshore and Offshore marine plans were published, becoming a material consideration for public authorities with decision making functions. The East Inshore and</p>		

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
					<p>East Offshore Marine Plans cover the coast and seas from Flamborough Head to Felixstowe. For further information on how to apply the East Inshore and Offshore Plans please visit our Marine Information System. The MMO is currently in the process of developing marine plans for the South Inshore and Offshore Plan Areas and has a requirement to develop plans for the remaining 7 marine plan areas by 2021.</p> <p>Planning documents for areas with a coastal influence may wish to make reference to the MMO's licensing requirements and any relevant marine plans to ensure that necessary regulations are adhered to. For marine and coastal areas where a marine plan is not currently in place, we advise local authorities to refer to the Marine Policy Statement for guidance on any planning activity that includes a section of coastline or tidal river. All public authorities taking authorisation or enforcement decisions that affect or might affect the UK marine area must do so in accordance</p>		

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
					<p>with the Marine and Coastal Access Act and the UK Marine Policy Statement unless relevant considerations indicate otherwise. Local authorities may also wish to refer to our online guidance and the Planning Advisory Service soundness self-assessment checklist.</p> <p><u>Minerals and waste plans and local aggregate assessments</u></p> <p>If you are consulting on a mineral/waste plan or local aggregate assessment, the MMO recommend reference to marine aggregates is included and reference to be made to the documents below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Marine Policy Statement (MPS), section 3.5 which highlights the importance of marine aggregates and its supply to England's (and the UK) construction industry. • The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) which sets out policies for national (England) construction minerals supply. 		

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Managed Aggregate Supply System (MASS) which includes specific references to the role of marine aggregates in the wider portfolio of supply. • The National and regional guidelines for aggregates provision in England 2005-2020 predict likely aggregate demand over this period including marine supply. <p>The NPPF informed MASS guidance requires local mineral planning authorities to prepare Local Aggregate Assessments, these assessments have to consider the opportunities and constraints of all mineral supplies into their planning regions – including marine. This means that even land-locked counties, may have to consider the role that marine sourced supplies (delivered by rail or river) play – particularly where land based resources are becoming increasingly constrained.</p> <p>If you wish to contact the MMO regarding our response please</p>		

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
					<p>email us at consultations@marinemanagement.org.uk or telephone us on 0300 123 1032.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p>		
42 BT	All			Comment	Generic response - we will respond by the deadline if we have any comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
43 Royal British Legion	All			Comment	Generic response - we will respond by the deadline if we have any comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
44 Direct Van Hire	All			Comment	Generic response - we will respond by the deadline if we have any comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
45 Night Express	All			Comment	Generic response - we will respond by the deadline if we have any comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
46 Command Pilot Training	All			Comment	Generic response - we will respond by the deadline if we have any comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
47 Thrifty Car & Van Rental	All			Comment	Generic response - we will respond by the deadline if we have any comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
48 Warwick District Council	All				Generic response - we will respond by the deadline if we have any comments at this stage	Noted. The PC has received comments	No change.

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
						from WDC during informal consultations in 2016. These were used to develop this draft of the plan.	
49 Historic England	All			Comment	<p>Thanks for contacting English Heritage.</p> <p>We're currently experiencing a high volume of emails. As a result, we're aiming to respond to you as soon as possible. Thanks for your patience during this busy period.</p> <p>In the meantime, you may be able to find an answer to your question on our website www.english-heritage.org.uk.</p>	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
50 CAA	All			Comment	Generic response - we will respond by the deadline if we have any comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
51 Cheylesmore Ward	All			Comment	No comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
(Coventry City Council)							
52 Lloyds Register Quality Assurance Ltd	All			Comment	No comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
53 Mill Hill Allotments	All			Comment	No comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
54 Air Ambulance Service	All			Comment	Generic response - we will respond by the deadline if we have any comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
55 Orbit Group	All			Comment	Generic response - we will respond by the deadline if we have any comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
56 Leicestershire Country Council	All			Comment	Generic response - we will respond by the deadline if we have any comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
57 Pam Redford (District Councillor, Stoneleigh and Cubbington Ward)	All			Comment	Generic response - I will respond by the deadline if I have any comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
58 Cylex	All			Comment	Generic response - we will respond by the deadline	Noted.	No change.

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
					if we have any comments	No comments received.	
59 Stratford District Council	All			Comment	Generic response - we will respond by the deadline if we have any comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
60 Walkers Crisps & Snacks	All			Comment	Generic response - we will respond by the deadline if we have any comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
61 West Midland Reserve Forces & Cadets Association	All			Comment	We do not feel the draft plan will affect us.	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
62 PJM Group Ltd	All			Comment	Generic response - we will respond by the deadline if we have any comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
63 Finham Parish Council	All			Comment	No comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
64 Northamptonshire County Council	All			Comment	Generic response - we will respond by the deadline if we have any comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
65 Solihull Connect	All			Comment	Generic response - we will respond by the deadline if we have any comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
66 Gordan Cain (District Councillor, Manor Ward)	All			Comment	Generic response - I will respond by the deadline if I have any comments	Noted. No comments received.	No change.
67 Highways England	P 19		Obj 6	Support / Comment	<p>RE: Baginton and Bubbenhall Neighbourhood Development Plan Consultation</p> <p>Thank you for your consultation on the above referenced Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP) received on 22 October 2016.</p> <p>Highways England has been appointed by the Secretary of State for Transport as strategic highway company under the provisions of the Infrastructure Act 2015 and is the highway authority, traffic authority and street authority for the Strategic Road Network (SRN). This network is a critical national asset and as such we work to ensure that it operates and is managed in the public interest, both in respect of current activities and needs as well as in providing effective stewardship of its long-term operation and integrity. The SRN</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The PC wish to submit the Plan as a matter of urgency and it is likely that further changes will be made to the NDP to update the Plan in relation to the new Local Plan; these will be dealt with by the Examiner, taking account of the latest position of the new Local Plan and any comments submitted by Warwick Dc at</p>	<p>Amend Plan.</p> <p>Insert additional supporting text after para 4.2.3:</p> <p>“Highways England submitted detailed comments concerning local traffic issues for inclusion in the Plan at Regulation 14 consultation stage. These comments advised that Highways England recognises the potential impacts of development on the Parishes given the vicinity of A45 and A46 and works closely with applicants during the planning application stage to reduce the impacts of development. Highways England takes environmental impacts of development seriously and will work with applicants, such as the proposed Land at Oaklea Farm development, to identify the appropriate assessment and mitigation, where required.</p> <p>The temporary traffic impacts from the Toll Bar End improvement works are significant. This scheme is due to be completed in early 2017 and this should have a major benefit for the A45. Warwickshire County Council are responsible for developing local highway strategies to reduce</p>

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
					<p>in immediate vicinity of Baginton and Bubbenhall are the A45 and A46, which provide wider links to the M1, M6 and M40.</p> <p>We welcome the development of the NDP. As recognised with the Plan, the draft NDP should be updated to reflect the emerging Warwick District Local Plan, which is currently subject to an Examination in Public, as and when is required. Our key comments on the Draft Plan are set out below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> We recognise the potential impacts of development on the Parishes given the vicinity of A45 and A46. We work closely with applicants during the planning application stage to reduce the impacts of development. As such, for the Whitley South application we have had significant engagement with Coventry City Council, Jaguar Land Rover and other local 	<p>Regulation 16 consultation.</p> <p>Insert additional information into Section 4.2.</p>	<p>traffic levels. Improvements to Binley Woods and Walsgrave junctions on the Coventry Eastern Bypass are currently committed within Highways England's Road Investment Strategy 1 (2015-2020). These will have temporary and permanent implications for the A45 and A46. Public consultation events will be held to discuss proposals."</p>

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
					<p>stakeholders during the development of the application. We will continue to engage with these stakeholders during the design process.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We take environmental impacts of development to / from the SRN seriously. As a result we welcome reference to noise and air pollution within the Draft NDP and we will work with applicants, such as the proposed Land at Oaklea Farm development, to identify the appropriate assessment and mitigation, where required. • For further information on how we engage with applicants during the planning process please see DfT Circular 02/2013 – The Strategic Road Network and the Delivery 		

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
					<p>of Sustainable Development.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="931 432 1256 943">• We recognise temporary traffic impacts that may have resulted from the Toll Bar End improvement works. This scheme is due to be completed in early 2017 and we believe it will have major benefit to the A45. It should be noted however that Warwickshire County Council are responsible for developing local highway strategies to reduce traffic levels. <li data-bbox="931 991 1256 1366">• We would like to take this opportunity to set out that improvements to Binley Woods and Walsgrave junctions on the Coventry Eastern Bypass are currently committed within Highways England's Road Investment Strategy 1 (2015-2020). These will have temporary and 		

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
					<p>permanent implications for the A45 and A46. Public consultation events will be held to discuss proposals.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • With regard to the above, we would welcome reference to consultation with Highways England in the emerging NDP. <p>I trust you find these comments helpful, please feel free to contact me if you wish to discuss this response in more detail. Yours sincerely</p>		
68 The Coal Authority	All			Comment	<p>Thank you for the notification of the 24 October 2016 consulting The Coal Authority on the above NDP.</p> <p>The Coal Authority is a non-departmental public body which works to protect the public and the environment in coal mining areas. Our statutory role in the planning system is to provide advice about new development in the coalfield areas and also protect coal resources from unnecessary sterilisation by</p>	Noted.	No change.

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
					<p>encouraging their extraction, where practical, prior to the permanent surface development commencing.</p> <p>As you will be aware the Neighbourhood Plan area lies within the current defined deep coalfield. However as there are no surface coal resources and no mining legacy features in the plan area The Coal Authority has no specific comments to make on the Neighbourhood Plan.</p> <p>In the spirit of ensuring efficiency of resources and proportionality it will not be necessary for you to provide The Coal Authority with any future drafts or updates to the emerging Neighbourhood Plan. This letter can be used as evidence for the legal and procedural consultation requirements.</p> <p>The Coal Authority wishes the Neighbourhood Plan team every success with the preparation of the Neighbourhood Plan.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p>		

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
69 Sworders	Policy BAG1 page 37			Support / Comment	<p>This response is made on behalf of the landowners of SHLAA site C20 which comprises the original Site H19, as proposed in the submitted Warwick District Local Plan.</p> <p>We support Draft Policy BAG1 - Land north of Rosswood Farm for development for around 35 new houses. The landowners wish to confirm their commitment to working proactively with the Parish Council to deliver development in line with the Neighbourhood Plan aspirations.</p> <p>The site would be able to provide a range of house types, including affordable housing and housing designed for older people and for those looking to downsize. Development of the site would include a landscape buffer of native tree and hedgerow planting which would increase biodiversity. Contributions would also be made to local village amenities under S106 agreements (or Community Infrastructure Levy) as part of the planning permission for the site.</p>	Noted.	No change.

Consultee Name Address Ref. No.	Page No.	Para No.	Vision/ Objective / Policy No.	Support / Object / Comment	Comments received	Parish Council Consideration	Amendments to NP
					<p>It is proposed to access the site from a new access off Coventry Road. We have a Technical Note provided by Highway Engineers which demonstrates that a suitable access could be provided off of Coventry Road, opposite the Oak pub, sufficient to serve the site. We also have topographic and landscape surveys for the site. This is all available to the Parish Council, should they require it for their evidence base.</p> <p>If the Parish Council would like to discuss anything in relation to the site, please contact Rachel Bryan at Sworders, the landowners' representative.</p>		